

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant has received the Final Office Action dated June 4, 2008, in which the Examiner: 1) rejected claims 20-28 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Parks (U.S. Pat. No. 5,877,746) in view of Krexner (U.S. Pat. No. 6,005,924); 2) rejected claim 28 as obvious over Parks in view of Krexner and Eveland (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0169888); and 3) allowed claims 1-19.

With this Response, Applicant amends claim 20 and respectfully requests reconsideration. Applicant has amended claim 20 to specify that the "multi-function printer device monitors incoming phone calls while playing an outgoing message and, upon detecting a facsimile tone, initializes said facsimile functionality to receive incoming facsimile transmissions." This amendment was made to introduce the same or similar feature as that contained in claims 1 and 10 which the Examiner allowed. For at least the same reason that the Examiner allowed claims 1-19, the Examiner should now find claims 20-28 to be allowable.

Applicant respectfully that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. It is believed that no extensions of time or fees are required, beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to Hewlett-Packard Development Company's Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

Respectfully submitted,

/Jonathan M. Harris/

Jonathan M. Harris, Reg. No. 44,144
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.
(713) 238-8000 (Phone)
(713) 238-8008 (Fax)
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
Legal Dept., M/S 35
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400